Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,428	HAWLEY, DAVID
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Satish S. Rampuria	2191
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Satish S. Rampuria</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>DRAGSETH, JOHN (Reg. No. 42,497)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: No.		
Claims discussed:		
8,11,13-15		
Prior art documents discussed: No.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Exmanimer proposed an exmainer amendment to claims 8,11, and 13-15 for potential 101. For details please see the Examiner's answer.		
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Satira S. Romeria		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		